Do self-ligating brackets favor greater bacterial aggregation?
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Abstract

Aim: To verify the hypothesis that self-ligating brackets favor greater aggregation of microorganisms when compared with conventional brackets. Methods: Four types of self-ligating metal brackets were evaluated. Initially, 50 brackets were divided into five groups (n=10): Morelli Conventional, GAC (In-Ovation R, Dentsply Caulk), Aditek (Easy Clip), Ormco (Damon System) and 3M Unitek (Smart Clip). An in vivo evaluation was carried out in which the brackets were bonded to the mandibular teeth of five healthy individuals who had not undergone previous orthodontic treatment. The right hemiarch brackets were used for bacterial plaque collection and those on the left side were examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Before bracket bonding, the bacterial plaque material aggregated to the tooth surfaces was collected, with the areas of choice being the cervical-buccal and mesial and distal interproximal regions. After 21 days had elapsed since bonding, the plaque adhered to the winglet, channel and cervical region of the bracket bases was collected. The materials collected were diluted and seeded on Petri dishes onto Mitis salivarius medium specific for S. mutans and non-specified BHI culture medium. Colony forming unit (CFU) counts were performed visually after 24, 48 and 72 h of incubation. Results: Greater bacterial accumulation was observed on the winglets of 3M brackets, with statistical statistically significant differences from the other types (p<0.05). As regards the channel regions, most microorganisms accumulated in the Ormco Group (p<0.05), and in the cervical region of Aditek brackets. In all evaluated regions, those with least bacterial accumulation were the conventional brackets. Conclusions: The hypothesis was confirmed, as the self-ligating brackets were shown to have greater bacterial accumulation when compared with the conventional brackets.
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Introduction

Self-ligating brackets have been introduced to Orthodontics several decades ago. Harradine1 mentioned that the concept of self-ligation is as old as that of the edgewise appliance. Nevertheless, over the last two decades, there has been increasing production and dissemination of these accessories with active and passive modes of ligation2-4.

One of the most favorable aspects with the use of self-ligating brackets,
according to the literature, would be elimination of the elastomers and steel ligature wires\textsuperscript{5,6}. Two basic advantages are achieved with this procedure\textsuperscript{5,6}: eradication of cross contamination that may occur accidentally during ligature placement, and improvement in oral hygiene by the patients. The latter advantage would be attributed to the fact that without the ligature, the bracket surface would be freer for cleaning purposes\textsuperscript{5,6}.

There is a rich ecosystem in the oral cavity, with a countless number of microorganisms. Although both periodontal disease and dental caries are considered multifactorial diseases, the bacteria in dental plaque are the main factor in their onset and progression. However, there are situations that comprise so-called “ecological stress”, with reference to the displacement of microbiological equilibrium, creating conditions that favor the growth and appearance of caries and/or periodontopathogenic bacteria\textsuperscript{7,8}.

The different accessory components of fixed orthodontic appliances contribute to the change in the equilibrium of oral ecology\textsuperscript{9}. The presence of brackets and ligatures has been shown to be related to gingival inflammation and increased risk of tooth surface decalcification, which ultimately results in the appearance of white spots and caries\textsuperscript{10,11}.

In spite of the literature guiding the thought that the self-ligating bracket system favors less aggregation of mutans group microorganisms, up to now, there are no consistent clinical evaluations in this sense\textsuperscript{4,12}. Based on this premise, the aim of this study was to investigate the hypothesis that self-ligating brackets favor greater aggregation of \textit{S. mutans} and other microorganisms when compared with conventional brackets.

**Material and methods**

**Brackets**

Fifty brackets were evaluated, being 40 of the self-ligating type of four different commercial brands and 10 of the conventional type (n=10). The brackets were divided into five groups, described as follows: conventional (Morelli, Sorocaba, SP, Brazil), GAC (In-Ovation R, Dentsply Caulk, Milford, DE, USA), Aditek (Easy Clip, Cravinhos, SP, Brazil),Ormco (Damon System, Orange, CA, USA), and 3M Unitek (Smart Clip, Monrovia, CA, USA) (Figure 1). The bracket channels (passive type) were filled with segments of round 0.020” wire (TP Ortho, Tokyo, Japan). The wire received a gray colored elastic ligature (Morelli, Sorocaba, SP, Brazil) on the conventional brackets and on the others, it was fixed by the specific system of each type of bracket (Figure 2).

**Subjects**

The sample was constituted by 5 male individuals with mean age of 25.3 years, with complete permanent dentition, normal occlusion and no previous orthodontic treatment. The brackets were bonded in the mandibular arch, the right side being used for microbial count and the left for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis (Figure 2). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee (Protocol 125/2008).

Fig. 1. Brackets evaluated: a) conventional; b) GAC; c) Aditek; d) Ormco and e) 3M.

Fig. 2. a) Intraoral frontal view of one of the patients, in whom brackets were bonded; b) right side view (brackets used for bacterial plaque collection); c) left side view (brackets used for SEM evaluation).

**Methods**

Initially, the individuals had their oral hygiene calibrated, according to the modified Bass-type technique,
and also received oral hygiene kits containing a toothbrush (Procter & Gamble/Oral B, São Paulo, Brazil), dental floss “Super Floss” (Procter & Gamble/Oral B, São Paulo, Brazil) and toothpaste (Colgate-Palmolive, São Paulo, Brazil).

One week after receiving oral hygiene instructions, the bacterial plaque material aggregated to the tooth surfaces was collected with No. 20 absorbent paper cones (Dentsply Ind. e Com. Ltda., Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil), obtained from the colonized sites on the canines, mandibular first and second premolars and mandibular first and second molars, of which the supragingival areas of choice were as follows: a) cervical-buccal; b) mesial interproximal and c) distal interproximal areas.

Immediately after the initial collection of microorganisms, the brackets were bonded to the tooth surfaces according to the bonding technique proposed by the orthodontic adhesive manufacturer (Transbond XT, Monrovia, CA, USA).

After 21 days had elapsed since bonding, the second bacterial plaque collection was performed on the brackets, in the cervical-distal regions of the winglets, channel and in the cervical region of the bracket base.

After plaque collection, initial dilution and homogeniza-tion were performed in a mechanical vibrator, using 1 ml of sterile saline solution composed of 0.85% sodium chloride and 1% sodium thioglycollate as reducing agent for each 1mg of plaque collected. After this 0.1 mL aliquots of each dilution were seeded in Petri dishes containing the culture media Mitis Salivarius (MS) specific for of *S. mutans* and BHI broth, non-specific for bacteria and fungi. The dishes were incubated in an oven for bacterial growth for periods of 24, 48 and 72 h. Colonies formed in all the culture media were macroscopically identified and counted by visual inspection. The valuation periods were 24, 48 and 72 h.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed with the SPSS 13.0 program (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The number of colonies found in MS and BHI media was analyzed statistically by application of the Wilcoxon test (p-value <0.05). Descriptive statistics included the means of the evaluated groups.

**SEM Analysis**

After removal from the left side of the arches, the brackets were fixed in an ascending ethanol series and thereafter any residual water was eliminated by critical point drying (CPD-030 critical point dryer; Bal-Tec AG, Balzers, Liechtenstein). The dehydrated parts were fixed on stubs with a silver-based adhesive, sputter-coated with gold, placed on acrylic well plates and examined with a scanning electron microscope (Scanning Microscope JEOL-SM 5310, Tokyo, Japan).

**Results**

The CFU counts obtained from the plaque collected from tooth surfaces before bracket placement are shown in Table1. The quantitative results of the number of bacterial colonies formed in the plaque adhered to the winglets of brackets revealed that Group 3M provided adherence of the largest number of colonies (p<0.05) followed by Aditek, GAC, Ormco and the conventional group. When the collection site was the channels, the brackets showing the greatest accumulation were the Ormco brand (p<0.05) and those that formed the fewest colonies were the conventional type. In turn, in the cervical region the Aditek brackets were those that formed most colonies, followed by GAC, as shown in Table 2. It is worth pointing out that the values found were similar for *S. mutans* and for the non-specific microorganisms.

The analysis of the brackets removed from the oral cavity under SEM revealed larger plaque accumulation on

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>UAV <em>s mutans</em></th>
<th>UAV BHI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cervical-buccal</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesial Interproximal</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal Interproximal</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. SEM micrograph of brackets with adhered microorganism (35x magnification); a) conventional; b) GAC; c) Aditek; d) Ormco and e) 3M.
the winglets of 3M brackets, followed by Aditek, GAC, Ortmo and the conventional type. The channels of Ortmo brackets were those that accumulated greater amount of plaque, followed by GAC, Aditek and 3M. In the cervical region the largest plaque accumulation could be seen on the Aditek brackets, followed by the GAC, 3M, and Ortmo types (Figure 3). In all the evaluated regions, the conventional brackets had the least plaque accumulation.

**Discussion**

In the present study, the proposal was to make a quantitative evaluation of the number of CFU present on conventional and self-ligating brackets of different designs. The evaluation was made in vivo in a model that individuals who had never undergone orthodontic treatment were used as hosts to the brackets. Initially, the oral hygiene of all patients was standardized to prevent individual variations from compromising the results. The use of any medications during the experimental period was not allowed in order to avoid alteration of the microbiota.

Bacterial plaque was collected before bracket bonding. The purpose of this procedure was to quantify the number of CFU present on the tooth surface. The regions of choice were the cervical-buccal, mesial and distal interproximal regions, as these areas are the most difficult to clean from an anatomical point of view.

The obtained results showed that in spite of the tooth surfaces being free for correct cleaning, they housed bacteria. For *S. mutans* counts the cervical-buccal region (5.4 CFU) was where microorganisms most concentrated, followed by the mesial (3.6 CFU) and interproximal (3.4 CFU) regions. As regards the non-specific bacterial counts, the interproximal regions were those with more CFU (19.4 CFU in the distal and 14.2 CFU in the mesial) followed by the cervical region of the bracket base as observed in previous works. The winglet region was chosen because this was the easiest area to clean; the channel, because it was the most difficult; and the base, because access to this area is most difficult, and it is here that the largest number of carious lesion routinely occur after removal of the orthodontic appliance.

There was a significant increase in both *S. mutans* and non-specific microorganisms that grew in the BHI medium in the three regions of the brackets: the winglet, channel and the cervical region of the bracket base as observed in previous works. The winglet region was chosen because this was the easiest area to clean; the channel, because it was the most difficult; and the base, because access to this area is most difficult, and it is here that the largest number of carious lesion routinely occur after removal of the orthodontic appliance.

The winglets were the regions with the least presence of microorganisms when compared with the channel and cervical regions. In the comparison among the brackets, the conventional type presented lower mean values, however, without statistical differences from the winglets of the GAC and Ortmo brackets (p > 0.05). These results occurred in the evaluation of *S. mutans* and non-specific microorganisms, and can be attributed to the winglet design of the 3M and Aditek brackets, which have larger grooves, favoring greater bacterial accumulation within them.

In the channel and cervical regions, the number of colonies increased significantly, in some ways an expected result, due to the difficulty of access during cleaning. Ortmo brackets were those that presented the greatest contamination, followed by GAC and Aditek, which did not differ significantly from each other (p < 0.05). These results are closely related to the designs of the brackets, which have a system for holding the wire, in such a way that they become tubes, preventing correct cleaning. The Ortmo brackets represent this well, because when they are closed, they prevent mechanical cleaning; the GAC and Aditek brands have a small opening in their cover, providing less plaque accumulation when compared with the Ortmo type. The brackets that showed the cleanest channels were the conventional type followed by the 3M brand. The design of the 3M brackets is very similar to that of the conventional type, providing closer results, in spite of being significantly different (p < 0.05).

In the cervical region, which was chosen for being a critical area for the appearance of white spots and caries, the higher number of bacterial colonies was counted on the Aditek brackets, with means of 2224.8 CFU for *S. mutans* medium was used to evaluate the presence of other microorganisms in order to determine whether the brackets favor the accumulation of *S. mutans* only or other microorganisms as well.

Table 2 - Mean colony forming unit values and statistical analysis for the different groups of brackets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Fin</th>
<th>Slot</th>
<th>Cervical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UFC <em>S. mutans</em></td>
<td>UFC BHI</td>
<td>UFC <em>S. mutans</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean* Stat.**</td>
<td>Mean* Stat.**</td>
<td>Mean* Stat.**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>6.2 a</td>
<td>1.4 a</td>
<td>628.8 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAC</td>
<td>7.4 a</td>
<td>3.6 a</td>
<td>1696 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aditek</td>
<td>20.4 b</td>
<td>65.4 b</td>
<td>1500 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortmo</td>
<td>7 a</td>
<td>1.8 a</td>
<td>2447.6 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3M</td>
<td>563.4 c</td>
<td>432.6 c</td>
<td>1397.8 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* mean colony forming unit values; ** same letters indicate no statistically significant difference (p > 0.05)
1764.2 for non-specific colonies. This result could be attributed
to the angulation of the bracket body with the base, creating
niches difficult to access with a toothbrush. The Ormco brackets
that presented many CFUs in the channels showed far fewer
CFU in the cervical region, presenting more colonies only
than the conventional brackets.

It is worth mentioning that in all the regions evaluated,
the conventional brackets were shown to be more hygienic
than the self-ligating type, a fact that is intimately related to
their design these results are consistent with those found Mota
et al. 2008\(^\text{13}\).

In conjunction with the quantitative evaluation of the
bacterial colonies, the brackets were submitted to SEM to
evaluate their design and the locations of greater bacterial
plaque adherence. The microbiological results could be
perfectly related to the morphological aspect of these brackets.

When the winglets were verified, the 3M brackets
presented greater irregularities, providing greater bacterial
accumulation, as previously mentioned. In the Ormco bracket
channels, because they behave as a tube when they are closed,
there was great accumulation of debris and thus a larger number
of CFUs. In the cervical region of Aditek brackets, difficult
access by toothbrush was shown as a result of the accentuated
inclination from the base to the cervical region.

The results of the present study differ from those of other
works in the literature. Pandis et al.\(^\text{9}\) performed \textit{S. mutans} count
in the saliva of patients using brackets of the conventional and
self-ligating types and found no statistically significant
differences. The differences found between Pandis’ study and
the present investigation might be due to differences in
methodology, as in their study CFU were counted in saliva and
not on bracket surfaces, as was proposed in the present study.

One of the advantages that the literature mentions in using
self-ligating brackets would be the reduction in cross-infection
which, according to some authors would occur at the time of
placing elastic or metal ties. The results of the present study
suggest that concern should be focused elsewhere, considering
that cross infection is completely controllable, depending on
the dental team, whereas the significant increase in CFUs
adhered to the brackets is something over which the
orthodontist has no control. It may be concluded that:
conventional brackets favored less bacterial accumulation; self-
ligating brackets of different designs presented differences
among the areas of less and greater bacterial accumulation;
and the hypothesis that self-ligating brackets favor greater
aggregation of microorganisms was proved.
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